CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2003/05/14 : CIA-RDP79-0-578A000200080041-6

3 May 1977

	MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD	 >//
	SUBJECT: Field Station Planning and Accommodation	25X1
25X1 25X1 25X1	1. Obviously the Agency's operating agreements and relationship with a key element to consider when planning for the AXANET system of the future. This memorandum explores some of the alternative methods for jointly meeting Agency future communication needs. It is apparent that additional analysis is required before a decision is made to change course but it may well be an appropriate time to open a dialogue within OC and with It would now be opportune to form an working level planning group to explore system alternatives.	25X1
25X1 25X1 25X1	2. Four approaches to field station systems are analyzed in the Attachment A. Each approach is technically viable. The field concentrator would require the most changes to our present system but might well offer the most long term benefits. The black MUX system provides privacy but at an appreciable cost in cryptographic equipment, space, air conditioning and other renovations, ATS expansion, etc. This approach assumes that requires privacy universally but original requirement was for a private channel wherever the traveled. Some compromise might be possible within these bounds. AFT will have limited utility as an Agency only system. Due to cost, the system will only be installed at larger field stations. If and the Agency shared AFT and the associated costs, it would then be cost effective to use the system at more locations.	25X1 25X1
25X1	and equipments should be reviewed for several reasons. First, field station space is finite and we will soon reach a point where additional equipment cannot be efficiently and economically added to a CCC. A worse case would entail adding an AK-4 rack for KW-7 installations and a TERP system. TERP may allow the removal of HW-28 units if the off-line cryptographic feature is incorporated. On the CCC we can visualize the addition of a SKYLINK terminal,	25X1 25X1
	the retention of the PRS HF system, the addition of SKYMUX rack, an AFT system or an OCR/PTP, retention of at least one M-28 for paper tape requirements, retention of HW-28 equipment	25X1
	AN MAN MAN TANKAN AMILA DEMINDE AMILANDE AMILANDE A	

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL Approved For Release 2003/05/14 : CIA-RDP79-61578A000200080041-6

SUBJECT: Field Station Planning an	nd Accommodation	25X1
for off-line purposes, the addition unattended operations (FSUO) rack of KW-7 and KG-13 cryptographic equipossible that selected field static date data terminals and NOC hardward many of the proposed systems overlate features. Attachment two illustrates relation to a single case, cast protected in this case increasing real printing speed provides diminishing capacity to log and process message barrier to greater throughput. Grean ultimately only be achieved by message handling and accountability	uipment. It is also ons will have to accomo- re in the future. Secondly, ap in regard to operating tes this situation in ocessing. It will be noted or apparent channel or g returns since the human es eventually becomes the eatly increased throughput changing our system of	
		25X1
		Ì
Attachment: As stated		
JAH:jek		

25X1

CUNTIDENTIAL

Attachment A

Approved For Release 2003/05/14: CIA-RDP79-01578A000200080041-6

Field System Approaches to Accommodate 25X1 Field System Transmission HF Backup Switch Impact Impact System Impact Impact Disadvantages Benefits AFT Port None AFT expanded for additional port, to handle ACP-127 None required 75 bps HF Complex field but data rate Single system. sufficient. system. High level of field Operator effiincrease No service ciency gain.
Backup simplified.
Efficient use of format, for softdesirable. discontinued. maintenance ware CSR protection. ____costs reduced from TERP and software support. No channel capacity. error control. to M-40 or M-28. Could use AVD to clear backlogs. 25X1 Red MUX Conversion 25X1 requires Data rate to KG-13.* TERP or other Fall back to Inefficient use Agency workload Red MUX to ATS increase to single 75 of channel terminal device. reduced. ______ crypto not required. 300/600 bps. 25X1 bps channel. capacity. May have to mix red and black cir-Conversion to KG-13.* Service with port expan-Only one type crypto system required. Easily drawn for sion. separate cuits. Service channel operareduced during accommodate add. tions. HF. requirements. Black MUX Black MUX to ☐ requires KW-7 25X1 Data rate ☐ ATS Fall back to Each circuit and terminal equipincrease to 300/600 bps. gains privacy. port expansion single 75 requires sepa-Agency workoad reduced. ment. Possible and extensive KW-7 installabps channel. modifications to rate crypto. Service reduced Service withpower, air cond drawn for 25**X**1 tion at [during HF backup. Inefficient use and [separate chan-25X1 nel operations. of channel capacity. Field New MAX III New field device Data rate Concentrator switch or front Fall back to New system. MAX III modifications allows multiple Centralized msg. increase to end. Conversion I/O and dynamic sharing of chansingle 75 bps accounting possible. 300/600 bps. channel. No service disto block proto-No or front-end. Pos. Efficient use of channel capacity. col. Conversion to KG-13.* nel capacity.
Conversion to KG13.* requires terminal requirement for continued. ATS front-end. Error correction. Flexible. Handles data requirement. 25X1 equipment. Backup simplified. Can use AVD to

* The KG-13 could be replaced with a KG-84 Approved For Release 2003/05/74 CIA-RDP79-01578A000200080041-6

clear backlogs.

NA 16, 2 & 2 £ ### + 16, 2 £ \$2 £ \$2 £

Attachment B

Throughput Analysis of Various Systems

		Circuit Running or Print Time	Logging*	<u>Thr</u> oughput
	Present operations at 75 bps.	180 mins.	46 mins.	180 mins.
	Present operations at 150 bps (overload used)	90 mins.	46 mins.	90 mins.
25X1	operates own circuit, e.g. SKYMUX. One 75 bps for Agency.	108 mins.	34 mins.	108 mins.
25X1	operates own circuit, e.g. SKYMUX. 150 bps (overload used) for Agency.	54 mins.	34 mins.	54 mins.
	Increase channel speed to 300 bps as proposed by FAB.	60 mins.	46 mins.	60 mins.
25X1	Per above but operates separate circuit.	36 mins.	34 mins.	36 mins.
	FSUO**	9 mins.	46 mins.	46 mins.
25X1	FSUO. operates separate circuit.	6 mins.	34 mins.	34 mins.
	AFT at 75 bps.	180 mins.	0	180 mins.
	AFT at 300 bps.	60 mins.	0	60 mins.
25X1	AFT at 300 bpsoperates separate circuit.	36 mins.	0	36 mins.
	AFT/FSUO combination. or AFT with AVD cast dump.	9 mins.	0	9 mins.

^{*} The logging figure does not include message tear and collation processes. The true human throughput boundary may be higher than indicated. Assumptions: three hour cast.

70 messages at 250 words each.
40% of traffic
49 seconds required for logging
26 seconds required for logging

25X1

25X1

25X1

^{**} Figures are distorted since system must operate at 75 bps to produce a paper tape for